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## GOLD

## Indian Challenge Ends in Quarter Finals

The 3 Indian teams in the fray, faded out of the Gold Teams quarter finals, leaving the title open for the foreign Teams. Formidables put up a good fight all the way to the end to lose by 18 IMPs, as did Hemant Jalan, who went out by 21 IMPs.

## SEMIFINALS

K1 Plus (Bulgaria) Vs Don Julio
South Sweden Vs Team Russia
BRONZE
TEAM SHIVA WIN INAUGURAL BRONZE
Team Shiva put it across Team Apanjan in the 48 Board Final by 31 IMPs.


Abhijit Pal, Abishek Mitra, S Srinivasan, Animesh Majumder, Arup Kumar Hudait, Ramkumar H (not in Pic.)

## SILVER

SEMIFINALS
I-Doctors Vs Pradeep
ICSA Vs Hope N Prey

## MP PAIRS

52 Pairs Qualify for Elimination-III of the MP Pairs R. Bhiwandekar \& R. Sridharan of Mumbai top Elim II


## Atithi Devo Bhava - The Guest Is An Avatar Of God

Team Hansa Narasimhan

(L - R : Veri Kiljan, Benedicte Cronier, Hansa Narasimhan, Ron Smith, Luc Tijssen, Carlos Pellegrini) Hansa, now settled in US, successfully sponsors her Team of Top Level and upcoming Bridge Players.

They unfortunately went out in the quarter finals yesterday.
The Dubai Ladies - UAE

(L - R : Kumkum Kumar, Manju Law, Rupy Walia, Anshu Aggarwal, Chayya Gandhi, Ruby Kochar) Dubai has a huge ex-pat Indian population. In a way it is like a home coming for the Dubai Ladies. Welcome Home!

## La Belle Alliance - Mark Horton

## GOLD QUARTERS, SESSION - I, SOUTH SWEDEN VS FII

My featured match is between two cosmopolitan teams, South Sweden and FII (Indo French + Italy) and provides the inspiration for my title. You will all be familiar with the fact that is usually associated with an Inn near Brussels that played a role in the Battle of Waterloo. It is less well known that the Prussian commander, Blücher, suggested the battle be named after the inn, as a tribute to the
 countries that had joined forces to defeat the French. Although Wellington got his way with Waterloo, Berlin's Rondell plaza was renamed Belle-Alliance Platz.

Turning reluctantly to the bridge, at the behest of my publisher I am working on a new book - Misdefend these Hands with Me - and it is not proving difficult to find candidates for inclusion. Let's see what happened on the opening deal of the match:
Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AQ } \\
& \mathrm{J} 1094 \\
& 872 \\
& \mathrm{~K} 863
\end{aligned}
$$

```
J10986
- 82
- A10953
- 10
- 7542
- AQ75
- QJ6
- J7
```

4 K 3

- K63
- K4

A AQ9542
Open Room : NS - South Sweden

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Choksi | Gower | Gupta | Apteker |
| - | Pass | Pass | $1 N T$ |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{e}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

West led the $\Sigma$ J and declarer won with dummy's queen and advanced the $\vee J$. East went up with the ace and played a second spade. Declarer took no chances, cashing his winners for +400 .
Closed Room : NS - FII

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bjerregaard | Cronier | Morath | Duboin |
| - | Pass | Pass | 1NT |
| 2** | Dble | 34 | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| 2**-Multilandy |  |  |  |
| 3**-Pass or | correct |  |  |

The first two tricks were identical, but here East switched to the $>$ at trick three and the defenders took five a tricks in the suit for +100 and an 11 IMP pick-up.

Board 13. Dealer South. EW Vul.


Open Room : NS - South Sweden

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Choksi | Gower | Gupta | Apteker |
| - | - | - | 1NT |
| $2 \mathbf{2 0}^{*}$ | 3NT | All Pass |  |

2**-Majors
West led the $\$ 6$ and when dummy's queen held declarer cashed the A and took four more tricks in the suit before playing a heart. East's $\vee J$ was a welcome sight and dummy's $\vee 10$ was soon taking the game going trick, +400 . Closed Room : NS - FII

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bjerregaard | Cronier | Morath | Duboin |
| - | - | - | 1NT |
| $2 \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 N}$ | 2NT | $3 \boldsymbol{c}$ | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | All Pass |  |  |

North led the $\diamond 7$ and declarer put in dummy's queen, South winning with the king and playing two rounds of spades. Declarer won with the king, played a diamond to the ace, ruffed a diamond claimed ten tricks when the suit divided, +620 adding 14 IMPs to South Sweden's tally.


Subhash Gupta - Team FII

## La Belle Alliance - Mark Horton

Contd.

Board 6. Dealer East. EW Vul.

- KJ932
- K10
- 103
\& 1873


Open Room : NS - South Sweden

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Choksi | Gower | Gupta | Apteker |
| - | - | $1 \nabla$ | Pass |
| 2 e* $^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | All Pass |  |  |

South led the 4 and declarer tried dummy's queen, ducking when North produced the king. The switch to the $\$ 7$ was taken by dummy queen and when declarer played a heart, North played a second king, which was also allowed to hold. The 3 went to dummy's ace and declarer played a heart to the ace, eliminated the black suits and exited with a trump. South won, and had he started with four diamonds declarer's fine play would have been rewarded. When he was able to exit with the 4 declarer ruffed, played a diamond to the king and took the diamond finesse, -100 .


Closed Room : NS - FII

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bjerregaard | Cronier | Morath | Duboin |
| - | - | $1 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond *$ | Pass | $3 \downarrow$ | All Pass |

3 * - Heart support
Taking nine tricks was easy - and another 6 IMPs went South. Board 7. Dealer North. All Vul.


Open Room : NS - South Sweden

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Choksi | Gower | Gupta | Apteker |
| - | - | - | 17 |
| 14 | 34* | 49 | 5 |
| Pass | Pass | 54 | Dble |

## All Pass

## 34*-Shortage with heart support

Ignoring the Bols Bridge Tip - The Five Level belongs to the Opponents - East took a second bite of the cherry. North led the 0 and the defenders collected the first four tricks, +500.
We will never know if West would have found the killing lead against 5 •

Closed Room: NS - FII

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bjerregaard | Cronier | Morath | Duboin |
| - | - | - | 17 |
| 14 | 2NT* | 49 | Pass |
| Pass | 59 | Pass | 5 |

## All Pass

2NT* - Heart support
West led the K and declarer ruffed in dummy, came to hand with a club, ruffed a spade with the $\vee A$ and drew trumps. There was no way to avoid the loss of three diamond tricks, so that was one down.
You see how careful you have to be when talking about a bridge deal. To be sure of defeating $5 \checkmark$ West has to lead the $\checkmark$ Q-easy to see when all four hands are on view. However,

## La Belle Alliance - Mark Horton

with the bidding hinting that suits would not break declarer was unwilling to follow what would have been the winning line. Unlucky - and another big swing leaving South Sweden ahead 44-4.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S J10 } \\
& \text { A } 10654 \\
& \text { AK } 864
\end{aligned}
$$

```
& 873
` 8543
K73
952
ค A65
- AKQ72
- QJ
\& J 10
```

Open Room : NS - South Sweden

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Choksi | Gower | Gupta | Apteker |
| Pass | $1 *$ | Pass | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $2 *$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

2 * *-Fourth suit forcing
NS flirted with a slam, but with no eight-card fit they called a halt. East led $\$ K$ and when it held he continued with the queen. That also scored, but declarer took 4 with dummy's ace and ran the $\diamond J$. That was followed by the $\diamond Q$, covered by the king and ace and when the suit broke declarer claimed eleven tricks, +460 .


Sven-Are Bjerregard - Team South Sweden

Closed Room: NS - FII

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bjerregaard | Cronier | Morath | Duboin |
| Pass | $1 \checkmark$ | Pass | 17 |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | 24* |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 39 | Dble | Rdbl* |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 5NT* |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

24*-Fourth suitforcing
Rdbl*-Spade control
5NT* - Pick a slam
Declarer took the $\mathbf{\$ K}$ with dummy's ace, cashed two hearts pitching a spade and played the $\downarrow$ Q, covered by the king and ace. A diamond to the jack was followed by a spade ruff, a diamond ruff, the $\vee$ Q pitching a diamond, a spade ruff and the 10 , ruffed by the J . When that passed off peacefully declarer claimed, +920 and 10 welcome IMPs.
Board 12. Dealer West. NS Vul.

```
- 94
- KQJ853
- 109
* 75
```


¢ 73

- 109764
- 86
\% K 1098
Open Room : NS - South Sweden

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Choksi | Gower | Gupta | Apteker |
| 1 | 17 | Dble* | $3 \vee$ |
| 34 | Pass | 4『* | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | 6** | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |
| 4『*-Cue bid |  |  |  |
| 4NT*-RKCB |  |  |  |

The traditional way to show an odd number of keycards and a void would be to bid 6 with the East hand. (If East is known to hold a heart void then a response of 5NT shows 1 key card plus the known void.) Although North led the K declarer could not avoid the loss of two clubs, -50 .

Here's something to ponder: if East had held a club control and the heart void would have had bid $4 \dot{0}$ over 3 intending to continue with $4 \boldsymbol{\text { s }}$ over a possible 4 from West.

## La Belle Alliance - Mark Horton

Contd.

Closed Room : NS - FII

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bjerregaard | Cronier | Morath | Duboin |
| $1 \star$ | $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\psi}$ | $3 \uparrow$ |
| $4 \uparrow$ | Pass | $5 \star^{*}$ | Pass |

54 All Pass
Knowing that East did not have a club control West signed off and pocketed 11 IMPs.
Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

> Q87432
> 96
> 73
> J53

108 『 AJ53

- AKJ64 • 109
\& A10974 \& KQ8
- 109
- KQ742
- Q852
- 62

Open Room : NS - South Sweden

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Choksi | Gower | Gupta | Apteker |
| - | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Whatever the meaning of $2 \boldsymbol{t}$ it did not get the job done. On the lead of the $\vee 4$ declarer took all the tricks, +720 .
Closed Room : NS - FII

| West | North | East | South |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bjerregaard | Cronier | Morath |  | Duboin |
| - | Pass | $1 N T$ | Pass |  |
| $2 \checkmark *$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{q}$ | Pass |  |
| $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass |  |
| $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | $6 \mathbf{2}$ | All Pass |  |

An old convention card suggests that $2 \checkmark$ was a puppet to $2 \vee$, and I'm guessing that 3NT then showed slam interest with the minors. It got the job done.
Declarer won the lead of the $\vee K$ with the ace, unblocked dummy's K, cashed the KQ, pitched a heart on the spade ace, drew the outstanding trump and played a diamond to the nine, claiming +1370 and 12 IMPs to leave South Sweden cruising at 82-20.

## The Foot Soldiers - Caddies



The boards that seamlessly come to your table from the Dealing Room to each Event Section and back, is thanks to the physical efforts of these caddies. The unacknowledged taskforce.
Of course the Gulliver among the Liliputs is the friendly popular Australian CTD, Laurie Kelso. We requested him to spare half a minute for this photo. He willingly obliged, leaving aside his work. And then..... the caddies wanted selfies with him! For which he smilingly posed. Thanks Laurie. Two brownie points.

## Gold Quarters Session - II, Hemant Jalan v Don Julio

Hemant Jalan started this session with a small deficit of 17 imps. They set about reducing it on the second board of the session

Board 16. Dealer West. EW Vul.

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Q |
|  | K1053 |
|  | 972 |
|  | AQJ96 |



In the Open Room, the bidding went as follows
Open Room : NS - Hemant Jalan

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Julius | Kaustubh | Corey | Kaustabh |
| Sigurjonsson | Bendre | Krantz | Nandi |
| - | 1\% | 1 | 19 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $2{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 27 | Pass | 3\% |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 6\% |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

## 1. Forcing

There is nothing to play in the hand and, once the club finesse works, declarer eventually makes 13 tricks.
The following bidding took place in the other room
Closed Room : NS - Don Julio

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemant | Radu | Sandip | Julian |
| Jalan | Nistori | Datta | Rotaru |
| - | 120 | 1 - | 19 |
| Pass | 23 | Pass | $2{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 27 | Pass | 3\% |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 39 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5\% | All Pass |  |
| Sandip Datta led the more passive Diamond |  |  |  |
| King and, after declarer |  |  |  |
| a diamond for 11 tricks and -11 imps |  |  |  |
| Two b thou aggressiv |  |  |  |
| Kaustubh Hemant | endre <br> an 6 im |  | tubh Bend Hemant J |

Board 18. Dealer East. NS Vul.

```
& AKQ1085
\vee
-5
C QJ872
```

```
4
AKQ72
ヘ 96
-AQJ1076
- 1098
94
```

」 1742
$\checkmark 3$
J643
AK 103

Open Room : NS - Hemant Jalan

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Julius | Kaustubh | Corey | Kaustabh |
| Sigurjonsson | Bendre | Krantz | Nandi |
| - | - | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 V}$ |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{s}$ | All Pass |  |

On this lay of the cards, declarer can make only 9 tricks and Kaustubh duly went one down.
In the other room the bidding went differently Closed Room : NS - Don Julio

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hemant | Radu | Sandip | Julian |
| Jalan | Nistori | Datta | Rotaru |
| - | - | Pass | $2 \downarrow^{1}$ |
| Dbl $^{2}$ | Rdbl $^{3}$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \stackrel{Q}{2}$ | All Pass |  |

1. Multi
2. Should mean diamond suit (though it could also mean hearts as per some defences)
3. Values

Radu Nistori would have made the same 9 tricks to secure 6 imps for his side
Things were going pretty quietly for the next several deals when disaster struck team Hemant Jalan on this board.
Board 25. Dealer North. EW Vul.

```
                                    & KJ4
                                    `AKQ975
                                    * 64
                                    & A
```



- A108652
- 843
- 7
\& 193


## Gold Quarters Session - II, Hemant Jalan v Don Julio

Contd.

Open Room : NS - Hemant Jalan

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Julius | Kaustubh | Corey | Kaustabh |
| Sigurjonsson | Bendre | Krantz | Nandi |
| - | 17 | Pass | 14 |
| 2 | Dbl | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 64 |

All Pass
West started with the Ace of Diamonds and continued diamonds. Declarer ruffed the second round and then played either for a 2-2 trump break or was planning to finesse East (West, having shown diamonds is likelier to be short in spades than East). When East showed out on the second round of trumps conceded one down


Lulian Raturu
Team Don Julio

Closed Room : NS - Don Julio

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hemant | Radu | Sandip | Julian |
| Jalan | Nistori | Datta | Rotaru |
| - | $1 \nabla$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \nabla$ | All Pass |  |

At the other table the Don Julio pair played in a heart game and made 13 tricks when Sandip Datta led his singleton spade giving declarer a free finesse and 11 imps .
As if this were not bad enough, Hemant Jalan had further disaster on the very next board when this hand came up.
Board 26. Dealer East. Both Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AK85 } \\
& \text { AJ } \\
& \text { AK } 93
\end{aligned}
$$

```
@ 9763
`9763
- J32
* }6
```

```
Q Q
```

Q Q
\ K1084
\ K1084
-KQ105
-KQ105
\& QJ84

```
& QJ84
```

- Q5 2
- 974
1075

The bidding went
Open Room : NS - Hemant Jalan

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Julius | Kaustubh | Corey | Kaustabh |
| Sigurjonsson | Bendre | Krantz | Nandi |
| - | - | Pass | 1 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | $2{ }^{1}$ | Pass | $2{ }^{2}$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \mathrm{NT}^{3}$ |
| Pass | $4 \mathbf{s e}^{4}$ | Pass | $4{ }^{5}$ |
| Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{6}$ | Pass | $5{ }^{6}$ |
| Pass | $5 \square^{7}$ | Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{8}$ |
| Pass | 6NT | All Pass |  |

1. Game Force
2. No 3 card support but 4 hearts
3. Promises 4-4-4-1 with singleton spade. This was not clear to Kaustubh Bendre.
4. Forward Going
5. 4 diamonds
6. RKC
7. OAces
8. Trump Queen
9. Yes.

We were able to piece together the interpretation of this auction thanks to our discussion with Kaustubh Bendre.

In the other room, North South managed to find their club
fit and hence had no trouble bidding the grand slam for another

Closed Room : NS - Don Julio


Team Hemant Jalan

## HUNGARY KYA?



Daniel Vikor of Hungary
The above is the catchphrase of the popular pizza chain, Dominos. We were hungry for hands for the Bulletin, and Daniel Vikor, from Hungary, dropped in with a couple of hands and then some. He told us about his popular site http://bridgemagic.net. and a whole bunch of many interesting things. It was a Domino effect, one thing leading to another and more. Pity we had time constraints. Thanks Daniel.
By the way, Daniel played on the Team Shree Cement in these championships.

MP Pairs Elimination I, Sess - II
Board 13. Dealer North. Both Vul.
\& KQ92

- 1075
- 53
* 8653


A word about the bidding. Daniel opted to redouble here so that he could either get to play quietly in 1 NT or, if the opponents went too high, he could extract a juicy penalty from them.
In 1NT, Daniel received the lead of the spade king. He ducked two spades, won the third round noting the spade distribution.
He now played a diamond to the king, won by South, who cashed the diamond queen and exited with the diamond ten. Daniel won in hand, pitching a heart from the table. He counted out South's hand, for five diamonds, three spades and the king of hearts.
He now started cashing his clubs, pinning the singleton jack. The strip tease was complete. East, feeling the heat, was forced to come down to a single diamond to retain the protected heart king. Daniel then simply threw him in with the diamond forcing East to play into the Heart Ace-Queen tenace for 8 tricks and +120 .This gave the partnership an $85 \%$ score.

MP Pairs Elimination II, Sess - I
Board 15. Dealer South. NS Vul.

## A AK5 3

-AK5

- A 8

752


- J 98

ヤJ642

- 9
\& Q 986
© Q 6
- QT93
-QJ75 2


## \& K 4

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daniel | Vikor | Chiranjiv | Ballab |
| -- | 2NT | Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | $3{ }^{2}$ | Pass | $3 \mathbf{4}^{3}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 6NT |

## 1. Puppet

2. No five card major, one four card major
3. 4 Hearts

In Daniel Vikhor own wards, 'Sitting North, I opened 2 NT'. When Partner bid a natural 4 I wasn't sure where to end up playing. If partner has the diamond king, then $6 \leqslant$ may be the ideal spot, but if has the club king then we could play in 4 NT or even 6NT. Anyway, partner solved my problem for me by bidding the slam.
West led a club. Since I could need the club king to reach dummy's diamonds, I won the club Ace in hand and played the diamond ace and another diamond. My LHO showed out on the second round of diamonds, I played the Jack and West started hesitating. I could sense the reason for the hesitation and began praying he would win. Fortunately for me he won the diamond king and backed another club to dummy.
I realized that since West was a good player, the only reason for his hesitation was that he had four spades with his diamonds. Accordingly, I cashed the Ace and King of hearts and successfully finessed the Jack of Hearts to come to this ending


Now, on the play of the Queen of Hearts, West was squeezed in spades and diamonds.
You must be wondering why I was praying he take the diamond king. The reason is simple. If doesn't do that, the count does not get rectified for the squeeze to operate and I will have to give either two diamonds or a diamond and a spade."
Only 13 pairs bid and made this slam. Daniel got a $88 \%$ score.

## TALK THE TALK WITH SUDHIR AGGARWAL

## OPERATION DAYBREAK

The guys behind the scene, the Operations team, burn the midnight oil, often till daybreak. The frictionless machinery that purrs noiselessly to bring you top international playing conditions and comfort, is the effort of these unsung heroes.
We met up with the Head of the Web Site and Cohead of Tech Management for these Championships, Delhi based, Sudhir Aggarwal. He tick marks all the right conventional boxes-an engineer, a Senior V.P in a multinational company, a cozy family of an author-educationist better half and a practising lawyer daughter. Sudhir learnt his bridge and related skills while on a stint in Mumbai in L \& T, over thirty five years ago. His penchant for management and organisational skills, kind of guided him by remote, as it were, into that much neglected aspect of bridge.
Inspite of his hectic schedule at these championships, donning many hats, we managed to talk to Sudhir, between movements, schedules, draws, results and so much more.
Tell us about your involvement and achievements in the lesser acknowledged aspect of tournament bridgeorganisation and infrastructure.
Almost a quarter of a century ago, 1995, I introduced computer scoring in Delhi, using ACBL software. Having. been associated with the HCL Championships for 17 years, I have developed both the HCL bridge website and app. Bridgemate team scoring was first done by me in 2010. I have handled the 2011 and 2013 BFAME scoring, apart from many Nationals, Bhartiya and Delhi Gym tournaments. I have also introduced an unique player ID concept, which


Sudhir Aggarwal
can be used in Bridgemate and has also been adopted by the Bridge Federation of India.
Q: Where is India on the world map in terms of infrastructure and organisation?
To carry out a Tournament successfully at a high level lot of infrastructure is required. Although there has been a big change in India in this regard in last few years, we are still quite behind.
Another issue is unavailability of good organisers and trained resources for scoring. Not too many people can handle big tournaments.
Q: What is the future and what is the scope for improvement?
I want that things should be much more streamlined. Enough Directors and Technical persons should be available for each tournament. Every player should be registered with BFI. Registration of entries should be done in advance for each tournament and many more things.
Q: Besides the marquee events like the 2015 World Championships in Chennai, the BFAME, HCL, etc, what about the tech support and organisation at the grass root level?
I doubt things will change at grass root level in my opinion. Reason is availability of funds. To use better qualified staff you need to pay them well. This won't happen due to lack of money in this game. Even in many sponsored high prize money tournaments very less technical staff is used as they don't want to spend money on this account. Let us keep our fingers crossed.

## THE BRIDGEMATES



Left to right : Kaustabh Deodhar, Rajpal Singh, Gajendra Singh, Srinivasan Iyengar, Sudhir Aggarwal (Head), Prithvi Singh, Vinod Kumar and Rajesh Yadav
These are your true mates - Bridgemates. They bring you all the live scores instantaneously.


## Relics - A Brief History

We dug deep, real deep, to find the remnants of the civilization that is bridge. Thanks to the wealth house of information, in the IBPA archives, which, is like a bridge museum, we discovered a few relics.

## The Convention Centre

It is common today to have convention cards running into dozens, and in esoteric cases, hundreds of pages. We take a trip down memory lane to the genesis.
1910 : Birth Of The1st Bidding Convention - The informatory double. Colonel Patton, to counter R. F. Fosters weak No Trump, told his partner, "Next time Foster opens One no Trump, if I have a good hand, I'm going to double. If you have a 5-card suit take me out. This idea attracted the American Wilbur Whitehead to develop the take out double.

1934 - Stayman: It was actually Ewart Kempson, who, in 1934, suggested a Two Club Response to an opening bid of one No Trump, asking Opener to bid a four card major. J.C.H. Marx and S.J. Simon had similar concepts. But today this convention is universally associated with Samuel Stayman, who popularized it. It's the Stayman Convention, puppets et al.
1935- Not Tonight Josephine: The 5NT bid, the Grand Slam Force, is popularly called Josephine, attributed to Josephine Culbertson in the 'Bridge World'.
1938 : Pressing the Button-Good Ol' Black. The most commonly used convention when leaving planet earth and going into the stratosphere of slam bidding is the Blackwood Convention, now in several avatars. The 'Bridge World', reluctantly took note of it and eventually gave Easley Blackwood his due credit.

1938 -Acol : First published in 1938 but invented some years earlier by S. C. H Marx and S. J. Simon, later sharpened and fine tuned by Terence Reese, Maurice Harisson Gary \& Ian Macleod.
1948-The Grapes of Roth : Alvin Roth of New York invented the Unusual No Trump.
1957 - Everybody must get stoned : Tobias Stone gave the world the negative double. (Sputnik) The Bob Dylan track, became prophetic for the bridge world, 'Everybody must get Stoned', with all and sundry using the negative double.
1950 - Power Point : Charles Goren published the point count bidding, which used the precursor of McCambell (1915) and Milton C. Work's 4-3-2-1 point count.
1969 : To be Precise: C. C. Wei gave the world the Precision Club System. It became extremely popular and has gone to another plateau with dozens of additions, soup-ups, gizmos, what have you.

1972 - The Byzantine Empire :J. C. H. Marx of England gave the world a new 4NT asking bid - Byzantine Blackwood, which is an advancement of the good ol' Black.

## IBPA AWARDS - BIDDING

The International Bridge Press Association (IBPA) Awards are given every year for excellence in bidding, declarer play, defence and for the Youth. Bedsides the international prestige and glory that comes on winning these awards, the icing on the cake is the bucks involved, supposedly around \$ 5000 for the Winners and $\$ 2500$ for the writer / reporter / contributor of the winning entry. A welcome sweetener indeed.

The Bypass Surgery Klukowski \& Zatorski, Poland (Fu Qiang, China)

Board 23. Dealer South. Both Vul.

- Q 1082

『K98754
-KJ7

-     - 



- 197
- Q 3
-     - 

*KQ1098654

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Klukowski | Marsal | Zatorski | Klumpp |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $19^{1}$ | 17 | 29 | Pass |
| $4{ }^{2}$ | Pass | $4{ }^{3}$ | Pass |
| $4 \mathbf{S}^{3}$ | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| $5{ }^{3}$ | Pass | 5NT ${ }^{4}$ | Pass |
| 78 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

1. Polish Club: (a.) 12-14 balanced HCP, or (b.) 15+ with long clubs, or (c.) any $18+\mathrm{HCP}$
2. Slammish
3. Controls
4. Nothing further to say, but still interested

After the natural and forcing two-club call, Klukowski set clubs as trump, then bypassed the heart suit, knowing that if his partner did anything but bid five clubs, he would be able to bid the grand slam. When his partner denied a heart control, his five-heart call would let his partner sign off with no extras, bid five spades with second-round control (which would be bad news) or do anything else appropriate. The five-notrump call was intended as, and interpreted as, extra club length or an extra diamond control; i.e., further interest. Klukowski now knew his partner had at least two spades and two hearts, so relatively short diamonds were therefore almost guaranteed. That meant that if his partner had seven clubs, he would be almost able to claim the grand slam and, of course, as the cards lie, there were 13 top tricks. (Klukowski, West, deliberately bypassed the heart suit and interpreted the subsequent auction intelligently to land the Big One. - Ed)

## Olfactory Perception

Meckstroth \& Rodwell, USA
(David Bird, UK)
Nickell Vs Mahaffey
Board 9. Dealer North. EW Vul.

- 10854
-A 106
-KQ987
\& 6

| ¢ K 732 |  | 4 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - KQ983 |  | - J 754 |  |
| - 102 |  | - 643 |  |
| +43 |  | \% K Q 1097 |  |
|  | \& AQJ 9 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 2$ |  |  |
|  | - AJ 5 |  |  |
|  | * AJ 852 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Cohen | Rodwell | Cohler | Meckstroth |
| - | Pass | Pass | $10^{1}$ |
| 17 | $2 \downarrow^{2}$ | 3\% | Double |
| Pass | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| Pass | 39 | Pass | $4{ }^{3}$ |
| Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{4}$ | Pass | $59^{5}$ |
| Pass | $5{ }^{6}$ | Pass | $6{ }^{\text { }}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

1. $16+\mathrm{HCP}$
2. $8+\mathrm{HCP}, 5+$ diamonds
3. Agrees spades
4. RKCB
5. 3 key cards
6. Trump queen ask
7. Queen of spades but no side king

Meckstroth's double was for penalties. Meckstroth was facing a passed hand and I confidently informed the kibitzers that he would bid just game over three spades. No, Meckstroth could sniff a low point-count slam.
Cohler led the king of clubs. I expect I would have done the same, but a lead of any other suit would have given declarer too much work to do. Rodwell won with the ace of clubs and ruffed a club immediately. He played a trump to the queen, which won, and ruffed another club, West showing out. When the ten of spades was led to the jack (nice nine, Partner!), West won and returned the king of hearts to the ace. Rodwell crossed to the ace of diamonds, drew the remaining trumps and claimed. He made three trump tricks, five diamonds, the ace of hearts, the ace of clubs and two club ruffs. What a splendid plus 980 !
The Mahaffey pair in the other room played in 4 S for +420 . It was 11 IMPs to NICKELL.
(Displaying a highly developed olfactory sense, Meckstroth, Sniffed a 26HCP slam. And Bingo! - Ed.)

A Well Judged Save
Bilde \& Duboin - Lavazza
(Brian Senior, UK)

Lavazza v Moss
Board 25. Dealer North. EW Vul.

- Q 9
- AQ9
-AK 107643
2


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Madala | Lall | Bianchedi | Bathurst |
| - | $1 \boldsymbol{\aleph}^{1}$ | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{2}$ | Double $^{3}$ |
| $4 \boldsymbol{e}^{4}$ | 4 | $4 \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ | 5 |
| Pass | Pass | $6 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Double |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

1. $16+\mathrm{HCP}$
2. 2 suits of the same colour
3. 5-7 HCP
4. Pass or correct

Lall tried to cash the ace of hearts. That was ruffed, of course, and Madala drew trumps, then ruffed out the heart queen to claim all 13 tricks and plus 1740 - one of the less common results we can expect to see at this tournament.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dwyer | Bilde | Huang | Duboin |
| - | $1 \checkmark$ | 19 | $29^{1}$ |
| 27 | Double ${ }^{2}$ | 3\% | 3 |
| 37 | 3NT | 4\% | Pass |
| 59 | 5 | 6\% | Pass |
| Pass | 6 | Double | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

1. Transfer to diamonds
2. Power double

Huang led the ace of spades, Bilde dropping the queen in an attempt to avoid the impending ruff, then switched to the king of clubs, asking for count. Dwyer's nine was a very clear signal to show four cards, so the spade ruff was the only hope of a further trick. Sure enough, Dwyer ruffed the spade switch and dealt Huang a heart ruff, but that was that. The contract was down three for minus 500, but that was worth 15 Imps to Lavazza.

## You Win Some, You Rob Son

Andrew Robson, UK
(David Bird, UK)
Allfrey v Lavazza
Board 10. Dealer East. Both Vul.

- K 986
$\checkmark 9$
- AK Q 9743

3


Marc Smith and I, commentating on BBO, posed the kibitzers this question: 'What action should North take when four hearts runs around to him?' We are both members of the New Bridge Magazine bidding panel. (I might mention that this great magazine, on the internet, is available totally free of charge for all comers!) We imagined that the options there would be five diamonds, pass and double. Indeed, we both ranked our own preferences in that very order, awarding ten, seven and four marks to the three actions. (IMO, Pass=O-Ed.)
On that basis, Jones will be sad to receive only four marks.
South quite reasonably passed the double, and two overtricks were made for a score of plus 1190.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allfrey | Bocchi | Robson | Sementa |
| - | - | $4 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| Pass | 5 | $6 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass |
| $6 \downarrow$ | Pass | Pass | Double |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Sementa had the rounded kings sitting over an opponent who had opened four hearts and rebid six clubs. He doubled, of course, but the contract could not be beaten. It was plus 1660 and 10 IMPs to ALLFREY.
(This time it was Lavazza at the receiving end. - Ed)

## Gadget Gurus <br> Robinson \＆Boyd，USA <br> （Inclusion Chip Dombrowski，USA）

Playing in the quarterfinals of the Baze Senior KO，Steve Robinson and Peter Boyd employed an unusual control－ asking treatment that landed them in the plum spot．
Dealer North．NS Vul．

$$
\text { ⓀJ } 10974
$$

$$
\text { 『 A } 6
$$

$$
\bullet \text { AJ } 7
$$

$$
\text { K K } 10
$$



| West | North <br> Robinson | East | South <br> Boyd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | $1 \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}^{1}$ |
| Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{2}$ | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{3}$ |
| Pass | $5 \mathrm{NT}^{4}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{7 \boldsymbol { \varphi }}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

1．Splinter
2．＇Key－Card＇ask，including a club void
3． 2 key cards（club void is a key card），no $ゅ \mathrm{Q}$
4．King ask；guarantees all key cards and the spade queen A handy little gadget to have in the toolbox！Should it be called＂Roman Key Card Inclusion Super Gerber＂？

## Well Oiled Machine <br> Wiseman \＆Smith，England <br> （Perfection－Mark Horton）

On this deal from Round I，England versus China，the East／West English pair had a chance to demonstrate their skills：
Board 10．Dealer East．Both Vul．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 人 } 1053 \\
& \vee K 754 \\
& \text { KQ95 } \\
& 87
\end{aligned}
$$



```
ค 87
－QJ32
－J1073
J J 103
```

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wiseman | Lu | Smith | Liu |
| － | － | $13^{1}$ | Pass |
| $2{ }^{2}$ | Pass | $2{ }^{3}$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass | $4{ }^{4}$ | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | Rdbl ${ }^{5}$ | Pass |
| $4 N T^{6}$ | Pass | $5 \square^{7}$ | Pass |
| $5 \mathrm{NT}^{8}$ | Pass | $69^{9}$ | Pass |
| 74 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

1．（a）Natural，or；
（b）12－14／18－19 balances，or；
（c）any 4441，or；（
（d） $15+$ HCP can contain 5 diamonds

2．Natural，GF
3．Major－suit check back
4．Control bids
5．First－round control
6．RKCB
7． 2 key cards，no spade queen
8．Kings？
9．Club king
That was an impressive way to collect 13 IMPs．

Not For The Faint Hearted<br>Hult \＆Bertheau，USA<br>（Very Well Bid！－Sue Munday，USA）

Dealer West．Neither Vul．

| － 1098 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| －KQJ109854 |  |
|  | －－ |
|  | ＊$A$ |
| Q Q 52 | ¢ 74 |
| $\checkmark$－ | － 4632 |
| －A Q 1087 | －J 654 |
| ¢98743 | 」 J 65 |

－AKJ63
$\downarrow 7$
－K932
＊Q102
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { West } & \begin{array}{l}\text { North } \\ \text { Hult }\end{array} & \text { East } & \begin{array}{l}\text { South } \\ \text { Bertheau }\end{array} \\ \text { Pass } & 1 \boldsymbol{~} & \text { Pass } & 1 \boldsymbol{1}\end{array}\right)$

Given the space available（yes，West might have done better to bid two notrump，but who knew how the hearts were divided？），the two－club call was intended as forcing without spade support，else some other call such as double，two no trump or three of a minor．
The four－diamond jump showed the void，so Bertheau bravely drove to slam and was absolutely right．The top clubs proved enough to allow the spade loser to be discarded in peace and quiet．

## QUARTER FINAL RESULTS - GOLD

Table : 1

| Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ |
| K1 Plus | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ |

K1 Plus Ivan Nanev, Vladimir Mihov, Rossen Gunev, Julian Stefanov, Marta Nikolava
Formidables Kiran Nadar, Bachiraju Satyanarayana, Rajeshwar Tewari, Keyzad Anklesaria, Sumit Mukherjee, Debabrata Majumder

Table : 2

| Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | 13 | 18 | 37 | 150 |
| South Sweden | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ |

South Sweden Alon Apteker, Craig Gower, Sven-Are Bjerregard, Anders Morath
FII Subhash Gupta, Sunit Choksi, Jaggy B Shivdasani, Sandeep Thakral, Philippe Cronier, Giorgio Duboin

Table : 3

| Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Q} \quad$ Team Russia (2 C/O) | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 134 |
| H Narasimhan | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 19 | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |

Team Russia Andrey Gromov, Anna Gulevich, Dmitry Rogov, Aleksandr Dubinin, Yury Khiuppenen, Vadim Kholomeev
H Narasimhan Hansa Narasimhan, Ron Smith, Carlos Pellegrini, Luc Tijssen, Veri Kiljan
Table : 4

| Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemant Jalan | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ |
| $\mathbf{Q} \quad$ | Don Julio | 55 | 33 | 22 | 3 |


| Hemant Jalan | Hemant K Jalan, Sandip Datta, Kaustabh Nandi, Kaustubh Milind Bendre, Sayantan Kushari, Sagnik Roy |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Don Julio | Corey K | igurjonsso | Radu Nisto | Raluca Ele |  | Rotaru |
| BRONZE FINALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| WINNER | SHIVA | 16 | 26 | 62 | 12 | 116 |
|  | APANJAN | 52 | 9 | 8 | 16 | 85 |

RUNNERS-UP : TEAM APANJAN


Gourav Biswas Gautam Biswas Suman Routh Samir Bhattacharya Ashok Dey

## QUARTER FINAL RESULTS - SILVER

Table : 1

| Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Q}$ | I-Doctors | 84 | 33 | 41 | 34 |
| Invincible | 2 | 33 | 28 | 78 | 192 |

I-Doctors
Rajul R Dalal, Ashok Bhavnani, Deven Doshi, Gurmit Singh Chadha, Shrikant B Tembey
Invincible Savleen Thadani, Santanu Ghosh, Sukanta Das, Tapas Kumar Roy, Indranil Chakraborty, Bhaskar Sarkar

Table : 2

| Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q ICSA Group | 38 | 18 | 25 | 31 | 112 |
| Amol | 30 | 8 | 52 | 15 | 105 |

ICSA Group S Vijayraghavan, Sandeep Dang, Afshar Majeed, V Ramkumar, Kannan Krishnamurthy, G Rajagopal
Amol Amol Deshmukh, U V Athavale, V Ravichandran, V Chandrasekhar, Sampath Srinivasan, Ajoy Sonak

Table : 3

| Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{Q} \quad$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ |
| Hope N Prey | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ |

Hope N Prey Asha Sharma, Puja Batra, Mukesh Shivdasani, Sunil Bhatia, Vijay K Goenka
Chandannagar C Bimal Majumdar (Captain), Sujit Kumar Sur, Hironmoy Banerjee, Kajal Pal, Tapan Choudhury, Nirmal Kumar Das

Table : 4

| Team | Session 1 | Session 2 | Session 3 | Session 4 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team Saju (9 C/O) | 50 | 45 | 13 | 3 | 120 |
| $\mathbf{Q} \quad$ Pradeep (3 C/O) | 34 | 28 | 36 | 67 | 168 |

Dazzle

Pradeep
Hemant Pande, Rohit Mishra, Sunil Patki, Ajay Kumar Singh, Abhay Todankar, Anand Golwalkar
Sukalyan Sarkar, Rajendra Sirohia, Goutam Pore, Binod Kumar Shaw, Pijus Kanti Baroi, Pradeep Goenka

## Semi Finals

T1
GOLD

## K1 Plus Vs Don Julio

## South Sweden Vs Team Russia

SILVER
I-Doctors Vs Pradeep
ICSA Group Vs Hope N Prey

| MP PA PG |  | - 4 |  | - 502 Patrs AURITV) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Pair | Match Points | Score \% | Rank | Pair | Match Points | Score \% |
| 1 | R G Bhiwandkar \& R Sridharan | 1772.9 | 61.95 | 31 | Dilip Mistry \& Raju Santra | 1578.6 | 55.16 |
| 2 | Suresh Ranjan Bhattacharyya \& Uday Ghosh | 757.7 | 61.41 | 32 | Anindra Kumar Sarkar \& Souvik Kar | 1572.8 | 54.95 |
| 3 | Rajendra Gokhale \& Arun Bahulkar | 1755.3 | 61.33 | 33 | Hasibul Hasan \& Swapan Kumar Ghosh | 1570.3 | 54.87 |
| 4 | Raju Tolani \& Ajay P Khare | 1737 | 60.69 | 34 | Suman Sengupta \& Kamal Krishna Mukherjee | 1569.3 | 54.83 |
| 5 | Basant K \& Anurag Mohota | 1726.7 | 60.33 | 35 | Rana Roy \& Biswajit Poddar | 1567.1 | 54.76 |
| 6 | Sanjit Dey \& Bhaskar Kumar Ganguly | 1726.1 | 60.31 | 36 | Ambrish Wadera \& Sunil Kumar Sinha | 1567 | 54.75 |
| 7 | Ashok Kumar Goel \& K R Venkataraman | 1723.1 | 60.21 | 37 | Pawan Mediratta \& Vijay Ranchan | 1564.2 | 54.65 |
| 8 | N R Kirubakaramoorthy \& Uttam Swarup Gup | 714.8 | 59.92 | 38 | Namit Sharma \& Alok Kumar | 1557.5 | 54.42 |
| 9 | Debasish Ray \& Pritish Kushari | 1709.2 | 59.72 | 39 | Soumya Das \& Anindya Bhattacharya | 1545.6 | 54 |
| 10 | K Divakara Reddy \& Mohan Gurunath S | 1706.7 | 59.63 | 40 | Nitin Shah \& Makrand N Kulkarni | 1545.5 | 54 |
| 11 | Amar Nath Banerjee \& Raghunath P Tripathi | 1704.3 | 59.55 | 41 | K K Sabherwal \& Balkrishna N Parasrampuria | 1543 | 53.91 |
| 12 | Ramaratnam Krishnan \& Jyotindra M Shah | 1699 | 59.36 | 42 | Biplab Kumar Das \& Biswarup Biswas | 1540 | 53.81 |
| 13 | Arun Kumar Sinha \& S M Moin | 1695.6 | 59.25 | 43 | Pinaki Prasad Khan \& Prasun Kr Mukherjee | 1537.9 | 53.73 |
| 14 | Badal Das \& Partho Sarathi Mukherjee | 1686.5 | 58.93 | 44 | Sukamal Das \& Pranab Roy | 1534.2 | 53.6 |
| 15 | G Venkatesh \& Anil P Padhye | 1685.2 | 58.88 | 45 | Joyjit Sensarma \& Natasha Khandheria | 1533.3 | 53.57 |
| 16 | Koushik Mukherjee \& Nirmal Kumar Jain | 1682.7 | 58.8 | 46 | Monica Jajoo \& Somesh Bhattacharya | 1522.6 | 53.2 |
| 17 | Ramaratnam Venkatesh \& Arvind Srinivasan | 1681 | 58.73 | 47 | Peeracha Suriya \& Somchai Rungsathit | 1522.4 | 53.19 |
| 18 | Ravi Raman \& Subhash Bhavnani | 1676.5 | 58.58 | 48 | Pranab Bardhan \& Shibnath De Sarkar | 1520.3 | 53.12 |
| 19 | Arun M Bapat \& Maneesh Bahuguna | 1665.6 | 58.2 | 49 | Santanu Chakraborty \& Pallab Sinha Roy | 1516.7 | 52.99 |
| 20 | Archie R D Sequeira \& lan Concessio | 1654.6 | 57.81 | 50 | Dipak K Poddar \& Jitendra J Solani | 1515.7 | 52.96 |
| 21 | A K Mishra \& Lyn Nazareth | 1635.6 | 57.15 | 51 | V Balakrishna Rao \& M S Srinivas | 1503.5 | 52.53 |
| 22 | Bhabesh Saha \& Shambhu Nath Ghosh | 1626.8 | 56.84 | 52 | R Chandramohan \& Prabhat Kumar Gupta | 1501.8 | 52.47 |
| 23 | Manas Mukherjee \& Alok Daga | 1615.4 | 56.44 | 53 | Girish Hirode \& Darshan Patel | 1501.8 | 52.47 |
| 24 | Abhijit Chakraborty \& Satyabrata Mukherjee | 1608.6 | 56.21 | 54 | Ranjan Bose \& Sibopriya Ghosh | 1499 | 52.37 |
| 25 | Vibhas Todi \& Satyabrata Lahiri | 1599.1 | 55.87 | 55 | Pramod S Joshi \& C P Deshpande | 1492 | 52.13 |
| 26 | Sapan Desai \& Animesh Raj | 1595.1 | 55.73 | 56 | Joy Narayan Roy \& Debashis Bose | 1489.7 | 52.05 |
| 27 | Kingshuk Bhattacharya \& R Sreekrishnan | 1590.8 | 55.58 | 57 | Ibrat Khan \& Puneet Gangal | 1484.4 | 51.87 |
| 28 | Tusher Bedi \& Gopinath Manna | 1590.1 | 55.56 | 58 | Pallab Roy \& Soumitra Das | 1483.5 | 51.83 |
| 29 | R Anbazhagan \& Aparna Sain | 1587.4 | 55.47 | 59 | Keshav Sakharam Samant \& Vinay Desai | 1483.4 | 51.83 |
| 30 | Anal B Shah \& Subhash A Dhakras | 1579.8 | 55.2 | 60 | Mrinal De \& Birendra Nath Dey | 1481.1 | 51.75 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rank | Pair | Match Points | Score \% | Rank | Pair | Match Points | Score \% |
| 1 | Ghanashyam Pal \& Abhijit Datta | 3103.5 | 64.71 | 11 | Achintya Haldar \& Gopes Sardar | 2847.7 | 59.38 |
| 2 | Tapas K \& Nita Banerji | 3000.5 | 62.56 | 12 | Priya Ranjan Sinha \& Bindiya Naidoo | 2847 | 59.36 |
| 3 | Santanu Roy \& Prosenjit Manna | 2999.6 | 62.54 | 13 | N B Shinde \& Avinash G Golatkar | 2846.5 | 59.35 |
| 4 | Finton Lewis \& Vikrant Mehta | 2970 | 61.93 | 14 | Anirban Sarkar \& Sujan Chowdhury | 2835.7 | 59.13 |
| 5 | Gourav Banerjee \& Jayanta Sarkar | 2940.7 | 61.31 | 15 | Sujit Kumar Bhattacharjee \& Shankar Acharya | 2835 | 59.11 |
| 6 | Ajit Saldanha \& Ajay B Yadnik | 2921.8 | 60.92 | 16 | Sultan Ali Abbasi \& Tribhuvan Prasad Khare | 2827.6 | 58.96 |
| 7 | Atanu Ganguly \& Tanmay Chatterjee | 2885.2 | 60.16 | 17 | D K Tewari \& J B Sengupta | 2819.4 | 58.79 |
| 8 | Aniruddha P Sanzgiri \& Anil Bharihoke | 2883.8 | 60.13 | 18 | Dheeraj Kumar \& Monu Kumar Anchar | 2812.2 | 58.64 |
| 9 | Moss Wylie \& Glenn Coutts | 2856.3 | 59.56 | 19 | Prithviraj Ghosh \& Pritam Das | 2806.5 | 58.52 |
| 10 | Alok Kumar Mandal \& Tapas Kumar Adhikary | 2852 | 59.47 | 20 | Debabrata Ray \& Salil Mondal | 2803.2 | 58.45 |


| The $17^{\text {th }}$ HCL International Bridge Championship $05^{\text {th }}$ August to $10^{\text {th }}$ August 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOURNAMENT SCHEDULE |  |  |  |
| AUGUST 09, 2019 FRIDAY |  |  |  |
| TEAMS : GOLD \& SILVER KO SF (4*14 Boards) |  | OPEN MP PAIRS ELIM III \& IMP PAIRS ELIM <br> (3 Sessions of 18 Boards each) |  |
| Session - 1 | 1000-1200 Hrs. |  |  |
| Session - 2 | 1215-1415 Hrs. | Session - 1 | $1030-1300$ Hrs. |
| Lunch Break | 1415-1515 Hrs. | Lunch Break | 1300-1400 Hrs. |
| Session-3 | $1515-1715$ Hrs. | Session-2 | $1400-1630$ Hrs. |
| Tea Break | 1715-1745 Hrs. | Tea Break | $1630-1700$ Hrs. |
| Session-4 | 1745-1945 Hrs. | Session - 3 | $1700-1930$ Hrs. |
| AUGUST 10, 2019 SATURDAY |  |  |  |
| TEAM OF FOUR GOLD \& SILVER EVENT Final \& Play-off (4*14 Boards) |  | OPEN MP PAIRS - FINAL Barometer Scoring |  |
| Session - 1 | $0900-1100$ Hrs. | Session-1 | $0930-1300$ Hrs. |
| Session - 2 | $1115-1315$ Hrs. | Lunch Break | $1300-1400$ Hrs. |
| Lunch Break | 1315-1400 Hrs. | Session-2 | $1400-1745$ Hrs. |
| Session-3 | $1400-1600$ Hrs. |  |  |
| Session - 4 | $1610-1810$ Hrs. |  |  |
| IMP PAIRS - FINAL <br> (2 Sessions of 24 Boards each) |  | IMP PAIRS STRATA - II <br> (2 Sessions of 22 Boards each) |  |
| Session - 1 | $1000-1330$ Hrs. | Session - 1 | $1030-1330$ Hrs. |
| Lunch Break | 1330-1430 Hrs. | Lunch Break | 1330-1430 Hrs. |
| Session-2 | 1430-1745 Hrs. | Session-2 | $1430-1730$ Hrs. |
| 1830 Hrs. onwards Prize Distribution \& Closing Ceremony |  |  |  |
| VU-GRAPH -CATCH THE ACTIONLIVE <br> The Vu-graph Room Is Situated On The First Floor Across The Hotel Lobby |  |  |  |
| BBO SCHEDULE FOR FRIDAY AUG 09, 2019 |  |  |  |
| 10.00 Hrs: | T-4 GOLD, S | Finals | ion - 1 (4 Tables) |
| 12.15 Hrs: | T-4 GOLD, S | Finals | ion - 2 (4 Tables) |
| 15.15 Hrs: | T-4 GOLD, S | Finals | ion - 3 (4 Tables) |
| 17.45 Hrs: | T-4 GOLD, S | Finals | ion - 4 (4 Tables) |

